

United States Patent and Trademark Office

DK/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,433	12/28/2001	Young-Sang Byun	3430-0175P	4398	
2292	7590 04/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	DUONG,	DUONG, THOI V		
PO BOX 747	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
171220 0110			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/
Advisory Action	10/028,433	BYUN ET AL.	
7	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thoi V Duong	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply	/ to a tion in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contr	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in fthe appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	š .
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	Γ place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered a vor appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-10 and 12-14.		·	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		/.	
0. Other:	SUPERVICE P	T H. KIM ATENT EKAMMER CENTER 2800	
		·	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "adjusting an on-off of a voltage according to a position of the moving substrate" recited in claims 1 and 9 raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.